

<b>Description</b>	<b>Model</b>	<b>External source</b>	<b>Deviation</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Note</b>
<b>Total pension contribution</b>	46,164,000,000	34,952,000,000	32.1%	Pension fund	Exemptions for employees in the TIDZ and those employed within "Macedonia employs"
<b>Total health contribution</b>	27,084,000,000	23,332,140,000	16.1%	Health fund	Exemptions for employees in the TIDZ and those employed within "Macedonia employs"
<b>Total unemployment contribution</b>	3,069,600,000	2,160,000,000	42.1%	ESA	Exemptions for employees in the TIDZ and those employed within "Macedonia employs"
<b>Total PIT</b>	14,388,000,000	15,306,000,000	-6.0%	MoF	
<b>Total pension expenditure</b>	61,560,000,000	54,675,000,000	12.6%	Pension fund	Slightly higher representation of pensioners, due to the survey character
<b>Total number of old-age pensioners</b>	202,620	191,592	5.8%	Pension fund	Slightly higher representation of pensioners, due to the survey character
<b>Total number of survivor pensioners</b>	76,776	76,052	1.0%	Pension fund	
<b>Total number of disability pensioners</b>	36,776	37,465	-1.8%	Pension fund	
<b>Total number of employed</b>	740,007	739,892	0.0%	LFS	
<b>Total number of unemployed</b>	220,180	215,807	2.0%	LFS	
<b>Total labor force</b>	1,706,172	1,721,702	-0.9%	LFS	
<b>Total population</b>	2,073,000	2,073,702	0.0%	SSO	
<b>Total expenditure on social financial assistance</b>	1,178,640,000	1,020,401,047	15.5%	MLSP	
<b>Total simulated expenditure on social financial assistance</b>	1,135,440,000	1,020,401,047	11.3%	MLSP	The difference here appears because the SFA drops to 50% after the third year, which we do not have precise information for, and hence do it arbitrary
<b>Total number of SFA beneficiaries</b>	30,208	28,322	6.7%	MLSP	
<b>Total simulated number of SFA beneficiaries</b>	30,327	28,322	7.1%	MLSP	

<b>Total expenditure on permanents financial assistance</b>	1,191,360,000	376,245,664	216.6%	MLSP	I would not rely on this - people cannot make clear distinction on what kind of assistance they get, because of low level of literacy.
<b>Total simulated expenditure on permanent financial assistance</b>	329,400,000	376,245,664	-12.5%	MLSP	The model does not capture incapability precisely (invalidity etc.)
<b>Total number of PFA beneficiaries</b>	6,680	6,482	3.1%	MLSP	
<b>Total simulated number of PFA beneficiaries</b>	7,465	6,482	15.2%	MLSP	The model does not capture incapability precisely (invalidity etc.)
<b>Total expenditure on child allowance</b>	396,600,000	103,114,064	284.6%	MLSP	
<b>Total simulated child allowance</b>	177,600,000	103,114,064	72.2%	MLSP	This should be rechecked
<b>Total number of CA beneficiaries</b>	8,510	5,909	44.0%	MLSP	
<b>Total simulated number of CA beneficiaries</b>	12,336	5,909	108.8%	MLSP	This should be rechecked
<b>Total expenditure on special child allowance</b>	79,920,000	390,648,341	-79.5%	MLSP	
<b>Total simulated special child allowance</b>	153,240,000	390,648,341	-60.8%	MLSP	The model does not capture incapability precisely (invalidity etc.)
<b>Total number of SCA beneficiaries</b>	1,582	6,763	-76.6%	MLSP	
<b>Total simulated number of SCA beneficiaries</b>	2,911	6,763	-57.0%	MLSP	The model does not capture incapability precisely (invalidity etc.)
<b>Total expenditure on third child allowance</b>	1,478,400,000	2,225,492,365	-33.6%	MLSP	
<b>Total simulated third child allowance</b>	2,206,800,000	2,225,492,365	-0.8%	MLSP	
<b>Total number of TCA beneficiaries</b>	18,732	22,541	-16.9%	MLSP	

<b>Total simulated number of TCA beneficiaries</b>	22,846	22,541	1.4%	MLSP	
<b>Total expenditure on disability care</b>	700,680,000	1,713,987,026	-59.1%	MLSP	
<b>Total simulated disability care</b>	1,809,600,000	1,713,987,026	5.6%	MLSP	
<b>Total number of DC beneficiaries</b>	12,930	35,035	-63.1%	MLSP	
<b>Total simulated number of DC beneficiaries</b>	34,674	35,035	-1.0%	MLSP	
<b>Total expenditure on conditional cash transfer</b>	102,720,000	59,265,000	73.3%	MLSP	This is predominantly received by Roma, and I doubt they mis-report.
<b>Total simulated CCT</b>	54,300,000	59,265,000	-8.4%	MLSP	
<b>Total number of CCT beneficiaries</b>	1,079	5,523	-80.5%	MLSP	The same as before, they report amounts of 8000 and the CCT is max 1000
<b>Total simulated number of CCT beneficiaries</b>	4,525	5,523	-18.1%	MLSP	
<b>Employment rate</b>	43.4%	44.1%	-1.5%	LFS	
<b>Unemployment rate</b>	22.9%	22.6%	1.5%	LFS	
<b>Average old-age pension - actual</b>	13,498	15,321	-11.9%	Pension fund	
<b>Average survivor pension - actual</b>	11,690	11,336	3.1%	Pension fund	
<b>Average disability pension - actual</b>	12,409	12,788	-3.0%	Pension fund	
<b>Average old-age pension - simulated</b>	14,699	15,321	-4.1%	Pension fund	
<b>Average survivor pension - simulated</b>	10,598	11,336	-6.5%	Pension fund	
<b>Average disability pension - simulated</b>	12,409	12,788	-3.0%	Pension fund	
<b>Average net wage</b>	21,265	22,889	-7.1%	SSO	
<b>Average gross wage</b>	30,308	33,640	-9.9%	SSO	

<b>Average consumption per household (monthly)</b>	25,158	27,783	-9.4%	HBS
<b>Poverty before transfers and pensions (sim.)</b>	39.6%	41.6%	-4.8%	SILC
<b>Poverty after pension before transfers (sim.)</b>	24.7%	25.7%	-3.9%	SILC
<b>Poverty after transfers and pension (sim.)</b>	21.6%	21.9%	-1.4%	SILC
<b>Poverty after transfers, pension and remittances (sim.)</b>	21.0%	NA		
<b>Absolute poverty (1.9 USD a day) (sim.)</b>	1.2%	NA		
<b>Inequality ratio</b>	8.6	6.6	29.7%	SILC
<b>Gini coefficient</b>	36.4%	33.6%	8.3%	SILC